

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1686 of 2026

Manoj Yadav @ Manoj Kumar Yadav, aged about 30
years, Son of Avdhesh Mandal, Resident of Village
Srirampur, P.O. and P.s. Taljhari, District Dumka
(Jharkhand).

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Informant

..... ... Opposite Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Ms. Vidhika Saboo, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, A.P.P.

03/ 11.06.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P
for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Hansdiha P.S. Case No. 04 of 2025, registered for the offence under
Sections 64, 126(2), 117, 351(2), 351(3), 352 and 3(5) of Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial
Magistrate, Dumka.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the allegation
of committing rape upon the informant is also false. She next submits
that the petitioner, being the brother-in-law of one Hemant Yadav, has
been made accused in this case. She further submits that by the earlier
order, the case diary has been called, where in para-29 thereof, it has
come that the informant has denied to go for medical test, wherein the
allegations are made of committing rape upon her. She also submits
that in the supplementary statement, the informant has stated that she
has cried for help, however, the petitioner has fled away. She then
submits that from the supplementary statement of the informant, it is

not clear that the petitioner was involved in the said crime or not. On these grounds, she submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be provided to the petitioner.

4. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has opposed the prayer and submits that the allegation is there of entering into the house of the informant and committing rape upon the informant.

5. There is serious allegation of committing rape upon the informant, however, at para-29 of the case diary, it has come that the informant has refused to go for medical test and further the alleged occurrence is said to be dated 25.12.2024, wherein the FIR has been lodged on 09.01.2025 i.e. after 16 days of the alleged occurrence. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, above named.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner, named above, is directed to surrender before the learned court within three weeks from today and in the event of his surrender / arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Dumka, in connection with Hansdiha P.S. Case No. 04 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-11.06.2026
Amitesh/-