

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1511 of 2026

Sinku Kumar @ Sinku Mandal, aged about 22 years, son of
Amar Mandal, resident of village – Chorkheda, PO and PS –
Jarmundi, District - Dumka **.... Petitioner**

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand **.... Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Kaushal Kishor Mishra, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. V.K. Vashistha, Advocate

02/10.04.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well
as the learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Jarmundi P.S. Case No.72 of 2025 for the alleged offences
registered under Sections 111(2)(b), 111(3), 111(4), 317(2),
317(4), 318(2), 318(4), 319(2), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2) and
3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66(C) and
66(D) of I.T. Act pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Dumka.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and the name
of the petitioner has come on the statement made by Amar Mandal.
He further submits that only debit card of the petitioner has been
recovered from the house of Amar Mandal. On these grounds, he
submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be provided to the
petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that the name of the petitioner has been taken by Amar Mandal and it has come in the order of learned Sessions Judge that Sim No.6291222074 was used by this petitioner along with co-accused persons for defrauding several persons located at Patna, Delhi, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh and Telangana etc. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. In the FIR the name of the petitioner has been taken by Amar Mandal and the debit card of the petitioner was found. It has come in the order of learned Sessions Judge in Paragraph No.111 of the case diary that the petitioner along with co-accused used the mobile bearing Sim No.6291222074 for defrauding several persons from different places and 20 complaints for defraud of money of Rs.11,28,478.34 arising out of the said sim number have been lodged.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated 10.04.2026
Sangam/*