

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. no. 2365 of 2026

Sumit Kumar Gorai @ Sumit Gorai, aged about 23 years, son
of Lakhi Charan Goral, Resident of Majhi Tola, Nirmal
Nagar, Adityapur, P.O. & P.S. Adityapur, District- Seraikela
Kharsawan. ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opp. Party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Aditya Aman , Adv.
For the State : Mr. Suraj Deo Munda , Addl.. PP
For the informant : Mr. Parul Parasar , Adv.

03 / 22.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in
connection with Bistupur P. S. case no. 111 of 2025
instituted under Section 126(2), 115, 109, 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that
the petitioner in furtherance of the common intention with
the co-accused persons, committed murder of Ritesh
Kumar Singh. It is submitted that the allegation against
the petitioner is false. It is further submitted by learned
counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has no
criminal antecedent, as mentioned in paragraph 16 of the
bail application and he has been implicated in this case
only on the basis of his self statement, and confessional
statement of the co-accused persons. It is next submitted
that without putting the petitioner on TIP, as mentioned
in para 9 of the Bail Application, chargesheet has been
submitted against the petitioner. It is next submitted that
the petitioner has been in jail custody since 10.07.2025 , as
mentioned in para 1 of this bail application. It is next

submitted that no witness has been examined as yet charge has been framed only on 17.04.2026. It is next submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case and undertakes not to annoy or disturb the informant or the other witness of the case or the family members of the victim in any manner during trial of the case, hence, the petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail and submits that the prayer of bail of the co-accused person has been rejected by a co-ordinate Bench of this court vide order dated 19.02.2026 passed in B.A. no. 11854 of 2025.

Considering submissions of learned counsels and the facts of the case, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Hence, the court below is directed to release the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Jamshedpur in connection with Bistupur P. S. case no. 111 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner will not annoy or disturb the informant or the other witness of the case or the family members of the victim in any manner during trial of the case and will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish mobile phone number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change the mobile phone number during the trial of the case.

(ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)

Dated 22.04.2026
Smita/-