

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
C.M.P No. 178 of 2020

Dinanath Hazam

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

Motho Hazam & Ors

.... Opposite Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHASH CHAND

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rohitashya Roy @ Rohit Roy, Advocate

For the Opposite Parties :

13/27th September, 2024

1. In view of the office note, service of notice to opposite party nos. 10, 14, 15, 20, 21, 26, 27, 29, 32, 38, 42, 53 and 55 is validly served. Notice to opposite party no. 36 and 37 were received by the family member, as such, notice to them are also served validly.
2. Notice to respondent no. 7, 13, 51, 47 and 48 were received by the son as such the notice to them is also deemed validly served. Notice to respondent nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 24, 31, 34, 39, 41, 54 was received by the brother of the respective respondents. Service of notice to them is also deemed validly served. The notice to respondent no. 9, 23, 29, 52 was received through the nephew of respective respondents while the notice to respondent no. 8 was received through his wife. Notice to respondent no. 6 was received by the grand-son. As such service of notice to 9, 23, 29, 52, 8 and 6 is also deemed validly served.
3. Notice to respondent no. 12, 16, 17, 18, 25, 33, 35, 46, 19, 11, 43, 44 and 49 are not validly served.
4. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent nos. 12, 16, 17, 18, 25, 33, 35, 46, 19, 11, 43, 44 and 49 under ordinary process, for which, requisites etc. must be filed within one week.
5. Notices which were issued to respondent nos. 1, 22, 28, 30, 40, 45 and 50, in view of the report of process server, all these respondents have died.
6. The substitution application may be filed on behalf of the petitioner to substitute legal heirs of respondent no. 1, 22, 28, 30, 40, 45 and 50 within two weeks.
7. List this case under the heading "For Order" on 25.10.2024.

8. In the meantime interim order dated 21.07.2024 shall remain continued.

Rashmi

(Subhash Chand, J.)