

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cont. Case (Civil) No. 324 of 2026

Mritunjay Upadhyay, aged about 36 years, Son of Shri Daya Shankar Upadhyay, Resident of C-12/69, C-Type Quarter, UCIL Colony Turamdih, Sundarnagar, PO & PS-Sundarnagar, Dist-East Singhbhum, Jharkhand.

... .. **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Dr. Kacham Anand Rao (Chairman & Managing Director), Uranium Corporation of India Limited, Son of not known to the petitioner, having its office at New Administrative Building, PO & PS-Jaduguda, East Singhbhum, Jharkhand.
3. Shree Sooraj Shinde, Add. Manager (Adm) to C & MD Uranium Corporation of India Limited, Son of not known to the petitioner, having its office at New Administrative Building, PO & PS-Jaduguda, East Singhbhum, Jharkhand.
4. Hansda D. (Manager Personal), Mines Office / Estate, UCIL Jaduguda Son of not know to the petitioner having its office at New Administrative Building, PO & PS-Jaduguda, East Singhbhum, Jharkhand.

... .. **Opposite Party(s)**

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Ms. Adya Mishra, Advocate
 For the Opp. Party(s) : Mr. Sudarshan Shrivastava, Advocate
 M/s Bakshi Vibha, Advocate

02/ 10th April, 2026

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the non-compliance of order dated 26.11.2025 passed in WP(S) No.4046 of 2024.
2. Mr. Sudarshan Shrivastava, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Uranium Corporation of India Limited by handing over two documents submitted that an admitted amount of Rs.128,878.00 has already been credited in the account of the petitioner. Further, he submits that a reasoned order dated 28.02.2026 has also been passed and has been communicated to the petitioner.
3. Copy of both these two documents have been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner and the same is kept on record.
4. Considering the said submission, I am of the opinion

that the order has been complied with, thus, this contempt application is **dropped**.

5. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the reasoned order and/or is not accepting the amount which has been paid, it will be open to the petitioner to raise a dispute before the appropriate forum.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

10.04.2026
S.K.D/CP-2

Uploaded on 16.04.2026