

(2025:JHHC:10837)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 2202 of 2025

1. Bishnu Sah @ Bishnush, aged about 41 years, son of Basudev Sah, resident of Village-Bari Kalyani, P.O.-Dumariya, P.S.-Dumariya, Dist.-Godda
2. Intiyaz Ansari, aged about 18 years, son of Jiyauddin Ansari, resident of Village-Bari Kalyani, P.O.-Godda, P.S.-Godda, Dist.-Godda
3. Lakshman Sah @ Lakhaman Sah, aged about 42 years, son of Bhawani Sah, resident of Village-Dumariya, P.O.-Dumariya, P.S.-Dumariya, Dist.-Godda
4. Sitaram Sah, aged about 64 years, son of Dinu Sah, resident of Village-Ghat Dumariya, Bari Kalyani, P.O.-Dumariya, P.S.-Dumariya, Dist.-Godda
5. Praful Mirdha, aged about 43 years, son of Jaldhar Mirdha, resident of Village- Ghat Dumariya, Bari Kalyani, P.O.-Dumariya, P.S.-Dumariya, Dist.-Godda
6. Md. Irshad Ansari @ Irshad Ansari, aged about 26 years, son of Dukhan Ansari, resident of Village- Ghat Dumariya, Bari Kalyani, P.O.-Dumariya, P.S.-Dumariya, Dist.-Godda
7. Intaj Ansari, aged about 27 years, son of Rahman Ansari, resident of Village- Ghat Dumariya, Bari Kalyani, P.O.-Godda, P.S.-Godda, Dist.-Godda

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Indu S. Gupta, Advocate

For the State : Mrs. Kumari Rashmi, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 08.04.2025

Heard the parties.

Apprehending their arrest, the petitioners have moved this Court for grant of privilege of anticipatory bail in connection with Godda (M) P.S. Case No.270 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable under sections 147/149/341/342/323/325/307 of the Indian Penal Code.

The Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners were members of unlawful assembly and in prosecution of the common object of the

assembly attempted to murder Baldeo Das. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are all false and are general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 14 of the anticipatory bail application. It is then submitted that the petitioners undertake to furnish sufficient security including cash security and also undertake to cooperate with the investigation of the case and further undertake that they will not annoy or disturb the informant, victim or any of their family members in any manner during the pendency of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am of the opinion that it is a fit case where the abovenamed petitioners be given the privilege of anticipatory bail. Hence, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from the date of this order, they shall be released on bail on depositing cash security of Rs. 10,000/- each and on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Godda, in connection with Godda (M) P.S. Case No.270 of 2022 with the condition that the petitioners will cooperate with the investigation of the case and appear before the Investigating Officer as and when noticed by him and will furnish their mobile numbers and a copy of their Aadhar Cards in the court below with the undertaking that they will not change their mobile numbers during the pendency of the case with further condition that they will not annoy or disturb the informant, victim or any of their family members in any manner during the pendency of the case subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)