

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1720 of 2026

Kartik Yadav @ Kartik Kumar Yadav, aged about 30 years, son of Mahesh Yadav, resident of Village Ankurhwa, PO Bela, PS Chauparan, District Hazaribagh, Jharkhand Petitioner

-- Versus --

1.The State of Jharkhand

2.Victim

.... Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey-2, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Naveen Kumar Ganjhu, Advocate

2/16.06.2026 Heard learned counsels for petitioner and for State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chauparan PS Case No.306 of 2025, for offence registered under section 64, 115(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66, 67A of I.T. Act, pending in court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Hazaribagh.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the alleged occurrence of 13.4.2025 however the FIR has been instituted on 10.11.2025 and inordinate delay has not been examined. By way of referring the statement of the victim recorded under section 180 of BNSS, 2023, he submits that she has also admitted that she was having sexual relationship with the accused prior to the alleged occurrence dated 13.4.2025. He next submits that she has not stated anything about the video in the said statement. He also submits that in the paragraph no.17 of the case diary, it has come that the victim has refused to go to medical check-up. He also submits that what has happened that was consensual in nature and

false allegations are made and in view of that, anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.

4. Learned State counsel opposes prayer and submits that allegations are there of forcibly establishing relationship.

5. The statement under Section 180 of the BNSS, 2023 is part of the diary and looking to the same, it transpires that she was also having relationship with the petitioner prior of 13.4.2025 and she has not stated anything about the video however in allegation it is made about creating the video and further the victim has refused to go for medical check-up which has come in paragraph no.17 of the case diary. Prima facie, it appears that what has happened that was consensual in nature, and in the attending facts and circumstances of the present case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, petitioner, above named, is hereby directed to surrender before learned court within three weeks from today, and in event of his surrender/arrest, petitioner, above named, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand), with two sureties of like amount each, to satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Hazaribagh, in connection with Chauparan PS Case No.306 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

16.06.2026

SI/