

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 2095 of 2026

Jagarnath Gope @ Jagar Nath Gope, aged about 31 years, Son of
Rajesh Gope **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through ACB (Vigilance)

... .. **Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. R.S. Mazumdar, Senior Advocate

For the Opp. Party-State : Mr. Vineet Kumar Vashistha, Spl. P.P.

05/23.04.2026

1. Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 03.02.2026 in connection with A.C.B. Ranchi P.S. Case No. 04 of 2026 corresponding to Vigilance Case No. 04 of 2026, for the alleged offence registered under Sections 7(a) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 2018 pending in the court of learned Special Judge, Anti Corruption Bureau, Ranchi.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is alleged to have been caught red handed with bribe money of Rs.25,000/-. He was working as a capacity of Block Co-coordinator and it is alleged that he demanded bribe of Rs. 25,000/- for releasing payment relating to construction of Nadep Soak Pit. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is in custody since 03.02.2026 and charge sheet has already been submitted on 01.04.2026.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the cognizance is not being taken on account of want of prosecution and FSL report.
5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party-State has opposed the prayer and has submitted that the petitioner have been caught with bribe money red handed he may not be released on bail.

6. The learned counsel for the State has submitted that the state shall make all endeavor that the matter regarding sanction is taken to a logical end and the FSL report is also submitted before the concerned court.

7. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that there is direct allegation made against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage. However, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail application after six months.

8. The instant bail application is accordingly rejected.

9. Let a copy of this be communicated to the court concerned through 'FAX/E-mail'.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

23.04.2026
Rakesh/-
Uploaded on:-27.04.2026