

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cont. Case (Cvl.) No. 302 of 2026

Singhanian Agro, a Proprietorship firm having its registered office at Chandwa Shivasagar, P.O & P.S Rohtas, District Rohtas, Bihar through its Proprietor Rajesh Prasad, aged about 46 years, S/O Ramji Prasad, R/O Ward No. 13, Bejaja Road, Daudnagar, Aurangabad, P.O Daudnagar, P.S. & District Aurangabad, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Satyendra Kumar, the Jharkhand State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, through its Managing Director, having its office at JSFC Bhawan, Kadru, P.O & P.S Argora, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
3. Rajesh Kumar Sharma, the Secretary, Jharkhand State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation having its office at Project Bhawan, P.O & P.S Dhurwa, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
4. Preety Kiskoo, the District Manager, Garhwa, Jharkhand State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, 2nd Floor, Collectorate Building, P.O, P.S & District Garhwa, Jharkhand.

... .. Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRASAD

For the Petitioner : Mr. Amit Kumar Chaturvedi, Advocate
For the O.P.-State : Mr. Suman Marandi, AC to SC-IV
For the O.P.-JSFC : Dr. Ashok Kr. Singh, Advocate
Mr. Sharan Toppo, Advocate

03/Dated: 23rd April, 2026

1. The present contempt case has been filed for alleged, willful and deliberate non-compliance of the order dated 10.01.2025 passed in W.P.(C) No. 3415 of 2024.
2. We have gone through the nature of the aforesaid order passed particularly at paragraph-8 thereof.
3. Show cause has been filed on behalf of the opposite party no.3 wherein it has been stated that the reasoned order has been passed on 29.04.2025.
4. The concerned opposite party, while deciding the claim, came to the conclusion of its liability to the tune of Rs.1,74,10,457.76 to be paid in favour of the petitioner while the liability of the petitioner has been

assessed to be Rs.13,52,32,909.14. Therefore, a sum of Rs.11,78,22,451.38 is the liability of the petitioner as has been assessed in the aforesaid reasoned order to be paid in favour of the concerned opposite party.

5. When this fact has been pointed out by Dr. Ashok Kr. Singh, learned counsel for the opp. Party-JSFC, the learned counsel for the petitioner has sought for leave of this Court not to press the present contempt case rather to avail appropriate remedy by questioning the reasoned order passed by the authority dated 29.04.2025.
6. Accordingly, the present contempt case is disposed of with the liberty to the petitioner to search out the remedy available for redressal of his grievance.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Sanjay Prasad, J.)

23rd April, 2026

Saurabh/-