

S.A. No. 23 of 1999(R)

Md. Alam and Others	...	...	Appellants
Versus			
Md. Nasimuddin and Others	...	...	Respondents

For the Appellants : Mr. Kirtivardhan, Advocate
For the Resp. No. 3 : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Mehta, Advocate

2. Mr. Amitesh Kumar Geasen, Advocate is also present.
3. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that the respondent no. 2 has expired during the pendency of this case.
4. Mr. Amitesh Kumar Geasen, Advocate has taken instructions from respondent no. 1 and has confirmed that respondent no. 2 has expired and has further stated that the respondent no. 2 expired in the year 2000.
5. Apparently, the appeal has abated as against respondent no. 2.
6. However, Mr. Amitesh Kumar Geasen, Advocate, has submitted that he shall file document in connection with death of respondent no. 2 and also provide the names of legal heirs and successors of the respondent no. 2.
7. Mr. Amitesh Kumar Geasen has submitted that Mr. Laljee Sahay, Advocate, who had entered appearance on behalf of respondent no. 1 has practically left practice and therefore, he may be permitted to file fresh *Vakalatnama* on behalf of the respondent no. 1.
8. Permission is accorded.
9. Post this case on 18th September 2025.

Pankaj