

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 2456 of 2026

Ramesh Rawani, aged about 48 years, s/o Kamdev Rawani, village-
Sitakata, P.S. + P.O. Karmatanr, District-Jamtara.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... .. Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD

For the Petitioner : Mr. Kaushik Sarkhel, Advocate

For the Opp. Party : Mr. Vijoy Kr. Sinha, A.P.P.

02/Dated: 25th March, 2026

1. The instant application has been filed under Sections 483 and 484 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of bail in connection with Karmatanr P.S. Case No. 94 of 2025 corresponding to S.T. Case No. 18 of 2026 registered under Section 80 and Section 3(5) of BNS Act, 2023 pending in the court of learned Principal Sessions Judge, Jamtara.
2. As per the allegation in the FIR, the deceased, the wife of the present petitioner had solemnized marriage sometime in the year 2019 and had started living in the matrimonial house. For sometime she was comfortable but thereafter, she has been subjected to torture even by beating her for the demand of dowry.
3. Panchayati was also convened but as per the allegation, the terms of the settlement arrived at in the Panchayati, the same has not been adhered to.
4. It has been alleged that on 20.10.2025 at about 04:30 p.m., the daughter of the informant has been reported to be killed by pressing her neck. The aforesaid fact has been communicated to the informant by the villagers. It has been alleged that when the informant reached to the place of occurrence, he saw that his daughter is dead and when asked from the petitioner, then, the petitioner started assaulting him and somehow he saved himself and informed the same to the police.

5. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is a simple case of suicide and only in order to implicate the petitioner in the present case, the case under Section 80 of BNS corresponding to Section 304-B of IPC has been made out.
6. The ground of custody has also been taken since the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 29.10.2025.
7. Learned counsel for the petitioner, therefore, on the aforesaid grounds, has prayed that the present petitioner may be released on bail.
8. While on the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the opposite party-State has seriously opposed the prayer for grant of bail based upon the fact that the deceased, wife of the present petitioner, has died within the 07 years of marriage. The allegation of demand of dowry is the root cause of the death of the deceased, wife of the present petitioner.
9. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties.
10. This Court, taking into consideration the nature of allegation said to be committed by the present petitioner, is of the view that it is not a case where the present application deserved to be allowed.
11. Accordingly, the instant bail application stands dismissed.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

25th March, 2026
Saurabh/-