

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 2133 of 2026

1. Badal Mandal,
2. Deepak Mandal @ Deepak Kumar
Both sons of Binod Mandal
... .. **Petitioners**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Atma Ram Choudhary, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Shailendra Kr. Tiwari, Spl. PP

04/10.04.2026 Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

2. A counter affidavit has been filed during the course of hearing which is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in custody since 26.12.2025 in connection with Muffasil (Hazaribag) P.S. Case No.207 of 2025, registered under Sections 317, 318, 319, 338, 340 and 61 (2) of Bhartiya Nayay Sanhita and under Section 66 (C), 67, 67 (A) of I.T. Act, now pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hazaribag.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and petitioner no.1 has no criminal antecedent. So far as petitioner no.2 is concerned, he has one criminal antecedent, but he has been acquitted in the said case bearing Korrah P.S. Case No.239 of 2020.
5. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that no victim could be traced out. He further submits that co-accused namely Vivek Kumar and Shekhar Kumar have been enlarged on bail vide order dated 17.03.2026 in B.A. No. 2054 of 2026.
6. The learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that so far as the petitioners are concerned, name of one victim has been mentioned in the case diary in paragraph 29 and he submits that the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners

that no victim could be traced, with respect to the petitioners, is not correct. However, so far as petitioner no.1 is concerned, no victim could be pointed out.

7. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties and considering the fact that one victim could be traced out so far as the petitioner no.2 is concerned, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner no.2 on bail.

8. So far as petitioner no.1 is concerned, since no victim could be traced out, petitioner no.1 is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty-five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hazaribag in connection with Muffasil (Hazaribag) P.S. Case No.207 of 2025, on the following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.
- (ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.
- (iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of even single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.
- (iv) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.
- (v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned trial court.

9. The instant application is partly allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

10. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through "FAX/email"

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order: 10.04.2026

Saurav

Date of Uploading: 13.04.2026