

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
M. A. No. 58 of 2023

Kavita Singh Mura & Ors. Appellants
Versus
Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd. & Anr. ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GAUTAM KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Appellants :Mr. Nikhil Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondents :Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate

Order No. 07 / Dated : 08.10.2025.

The notice was earlier issued in the limitation matter (I.A. No. 9035 of 2024) on the respondents which has been duly served in view of the office note dated 27.08.2025.

I.A. No.9035 of 2024

The Insurance Company has entered into appearance, however, respondent no. 1 has not appeared in the present case.

Under the circumstance, this appeal will be heard *ex-parte* against respondent no. 1.

Heard learned counsel for the appellant in I.A. No. 9035 of 2024 which has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 117 days in preferring the instant misc. appeal.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the appellants that the appellant is an uneducated person and the delay was not intentional and it occurred while of arranging the resources for filing the appeal.

Learned counsel for the respondent-Insurance Company has not raised any serious objection.

Considering the grounds taken, the delay is condoned and this interlocutory application is allowed.

M.A. No. 58 of 2023

This appeal is admitted to be heard on the following substantial question of law:

“Whether learned Labour Court has failed to award compensation by taking into account the correct income, relevant multiplier and proportionate penalty in the light of Section 4A of the Employees’ Compensation Act, 1925?”

Call for the lower court records from the court concerned.

List this case under the appropriate heading.

(Gautam Kumar Choudhary, J.)