

(2026:JHHC:17265)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 2346 of 2026

Raushan Kumar @ Raushan Singh, aged about 27 years, s/o
late Ramu Singh @ Devchand Singh, r/o Village-Kajri Khurd,
P.O. & P.S.-Jandaha, Dist.-Vaishali (Bihar)

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mrs. Anuradha Sahay, Addl. P.P.

Order No.05 Dated- 15.06.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Bariyatu P.S. Case No.33 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 324(5)/ 324(6)/ 326(f)/ 308(5)/ 111(4)/ 109(1) of the B.N.S., 2023 and under Section 27 of Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner put the vehicle of the informant on fire and also fired 2-3 rounds to create terror and they left a *parcha* (pamphlet) relating to organized gang of criminals headed by Rahul Dubey. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the petitioner is not named in the FIR. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused person. It is further submitted that without putting the petitioner on T.I. Parade, charge sheet has been submitted in this case against him; as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 02 of the supplementary affidavit dated 12.06.2026. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 17.09.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 01 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he

will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the co-accused person with similar allegation has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 15.04.2026 in B.A. No.11496 of 2025. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Latehar, in connection with Bariyatu P.S. Case No.33 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

15.06.2026
Gunjan-