

Makardhwaj Mahato	----			Petitioner(s)
	Versus			
The State of Jharkhand				Opposite Party(s)
	With			
	Criminal Revision No.701 of 2017			

Balbhadra Ban Singh				Petitioner(s)
	Versus			
The State of Jharkhand				Opposite Party(s)

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Jai Shankar Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. J.N. Upadhyay, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, A.P.P.

I.A. No.1743 of 2017 in Criminal Revision No.1365 of 2016

1. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the present interlocutory application has become infructuous as he has already surrendered and bail has also been granted to him.
2. In view of above submission, the present interlocutory application is hereby dismissed as infructuous.

I.A. No.6981 of 2016 in Criminal Revision No.1365 of 2016

1. The present interlocutory application has been filed for exemption from surrendering before the learned court below.
2. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the present interlocutory application has become infructuous.
3. In view of above submission, the present interlocutory application is hereby dismissed as infructuous.

Criminal Revision Nos.1365 of 2016 and 701 of 2017

1. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioners are ready to deposit the amount.
2. In view of above submission, the petitioners are permitted to do so and to file affidavit to that effect.
3. Put up this case after 'Diwali Holidays'.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)