

(2026:JHHC:18400)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3342 of 2026

Firoz Ansari @ Jalla Firoj @ Firoz, Aged about 31 years, S/o -
Late Salim Ansari, R/o -Dangodih, Rahamat Nagar Kapali,
P.O. -Kapali, P.S. -Chandil (Kapali), Dist. -Seraikella -
Kharsawan, Jharkhand.

	...	Petitioner
	Versus	
The State of Jharkhand	...	Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Ashok Kr. Sinha (4), Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Ajay Kr. Pathak, Addl. P.P.

Order No.03 Dated- 23.06.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Kandra P.S. Case No.15 of 2025(s), S.T. No. 11 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 308(4)/309(6) of the B.N.S., 2023 and under Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is the head of an organised crime gang running a syndicate of extortion and his henchman fired upon the informant upon his refusal to pay Rs.10,00,000/- of extortion amount and on the informant resisting looting of money from the drawer, by the henchman of the petitioner. It is next submitted that apart from this case, the petitioner is also involved in six other cases as mentioned in para - 3 of the supplementary affidavit dated 01.05.2026. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 29.08.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 06 of the bail application. It is then submitted that the co-accused person has already been admitted to bail by a coordinate bench of this Court

vide order dated 01.04.2026 in B.A. No. 2659 of 2026. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is the head of the gang of criminals and in view of his criminal antecedents, there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be admitted to bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and the chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail; as also the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to admit the petitioner on bail at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected at this stage.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

23.06.2026
Sonu/