

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1443 of 2026

Anushka Singh @ Annu @ Anushka Kumari @
Anuska Kumari, aged about 29 years, widow of Late
Anupam Kumar Mishra, resident of Xavior Nagar,
Dela Toli, Konkar, P.O. and P.S. Bariatu, District
Ranchi, Jharkhand.

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Md. Zaid Ahmed, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Jitendra Pandey, A.P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate.

05/ 15.06.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P for
the State and learned counsel appearing for the informant.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Saraidhela P.S. Case No. 192 of 2025, registered for the offence under
Section 108 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of
learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Dhanbad.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner
happened to be the wife of the deceased and the husband of this
petitioner has committed suicide. He next submits that the death has
occurred due to asphyxia, due to hanging. On these grounds, he
submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be provided to the petitioner.

4. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State and learned counsel
appearing for the informant have jointly opposed the prayer and submit
that the petitioner was found with another man in the house. Learned
A.P.P. next submits that in the post-mortem report, the cause of death is
said to be asphyxia and further external injury has also been found on

the body of the deceased and the said injury has been caused by the assault made by the hard and blunt substance. Learned counsel appearing for the informant further adds and submits that in the mobile of the deceased, the video has been recorded and the said video has been reached to the informant, wherein it has been found that the petitioner was coming out with the other man and they were having the smile on their face. On these grounds, they submit that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. In the post-mortem report, it has come that external injury has also been found on the dead body of the deceased caused by hard and blunt substance and the petitioner is said to be the wife of the deceased and the petitioner and the deceased have solemnized the marriage on their own volition. It appears that subsequently, their relation went sour and it has been pointed out that the said video is available in the pen drive, in which, this petitioner along with other person were coming out from the place of occurrence with the smile on their face.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, above named. Accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-15.06.2026
Amitesh/-