

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**B.A. No. 3832 of 2026**

Shivcharan Munda, aged about 28 year, S/O-Abhiram Munda, R/O
Sharubeda P.O. and P.S.-Tamar District- Ranchi (Jharkhand)

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... .. Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners: :Mr. Suraj Kishore Prasad, Advocate

For the State :Mrs. Priya Shrestha, Spl.P.P.

002/Dated: 06.05.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with S.T. Case No. 359 of 2023 arising out of Dasamfall P.S. Case No. 01 of 2023 instituted for the offences punishable under Section 302/201, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this is the second journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail. Earlier the prayer for regular bail was rejected on 29.07.2025. It is next submitted that the fresh ground is that in the meanwhile, the prosecution evidence has been closed after the examination of three prosecution witnesses only. The certified copy of the deposition of them has been annexed at Annexure 3 at page 26-30. It is submitted that except the P.W.1 no one else has said anything to implicate the petitioner in this case and the P.W.1 has stated that she has knowledge that the petitioner has beaten up the deceased with lathi, on the basis of information provided to her by police. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. The case is listed today on 06.05.2026 for final hearing of the argument of the Sessions trial. The petitioner has been in custody since 05.02.2023 as mentioned in para 18 of the bail application. It is submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in paragraph 20 of the bail application. It is next submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case and undertakes not to

annoy or disturb the informant or the other witnesses of the case in any manner during trial of the case, hence, the petitioner may be admitted to regular bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for regular bail.

Considering submissions of learned counsels and the facts as stated above, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Hence, the court below is directed to release the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Judicial Commissioner-VII, cum Spl. Judge CBI (AHD Scam) at Ranchi in connection with S.T. Case No. 359 of 2023 arising out of Dasamfall P.S. Case No. 01 of 2023 with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish mobile phone number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change the mobile phone number during the trial of the case and he undertakes not to annoy or disturb the informant or the other witnesses of the case in any manner during the pendency of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)