

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Appeal (DB) No. 998 of 2025

Vidhan Chandra Choudhary	...	Appellant
	Versus	
The State of Jharkhand	...	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant	: None
For the State	: Mr. Anup Pawan Topno, A.P.P.

Order No. 04/ Dated: 4th November, 2025

I.A. No. 13687 of 2025

None appears on behalf of the appellant, though learned A.P.P. is present.

2. This interlocutory application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

3. The appellant has been convicted for the offence punishable u/s- 6 and 10 of the POCSO Act and has been sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life, which shall mean imprisonment for the remainder of his natural life along with a fine of Rs. 2,00,000/- for the offence u/s- 6 of the POCSO Act.

4. It has been alleged that the appellant had committed rape upon both the daughters of the informant. The victims have been examined as P.W-8 and P.W-9 and both have supported the factum of being subjected to rape by the appellant. It further appears from the medical report that the age of the victims were assessed to be 8 and 9 years respectively and so far as P.W-9 is concerned, hymen was found ruptured and it was opined that there were signs of recent forceful sexual intercourse on her.

5. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

6. Regard being had to the evidence of P.W-8 and P.W-9 as well as the medical report, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

I.A. No. 13687 of 2025 stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

Dated: 4th November, 2025

Vedanti/-