

(2026:JHHC:12031)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 2272 of 2026

Prashant Sahu @ Pappu Saw, Aged about 37 years, son of
Gopal Sahu, resident of near Bharat Petrol Pump, Deep
Nagar, P.O. & P.S. -Pandra, District -Ranchi, Jharkhand.

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Md. Zaid Ahmed, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Arup Kr. Dey, Addl. P.P.

Order No.05 Dated- 23.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Sukhdeonagar P.S. Case No.23 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 61(2), 318(4), 109(1), 118(2), 351(2), 352 & 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and under Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons and in criminal conspiracy with them attempted to murder the informant by firing upon him and the bullet hit the right arm of the informant and his brother Vikash Singh. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the genesis of the occurrence is related to dealing of land of area 36 decimals, in which the informant on the instruction of co-accused-Sanjay Pandey invested Rs.58,00,000/- to be paid to the land owners and after expiry of one and half years neither the sale deed in respect of the said land was executed in favour of the informant nor the money was refunded. It is then submitted that the main allegation is against the co-accused -Sonu Yadav of firing upon the victim. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and the petitioner has been in custody since 19.01.2026, as has been

mentioned in paragraph no. 22 of the bail application. It is then submitted that the co-accused person has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 17.04.2026 in B.A. No. 2968 of 2026. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate -1st Class, Ranchi, in connection with Sukhdeonagar P.S. Case No.23 of 2026 with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

23.04.2026
Sonu/