

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.190 of 2026

Sushil Prasad **Appellant**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand through Vigilance & Anr. **Respondents**
With

**Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.184 of 2026; Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.196 of 2026;
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.201 of 2026; Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.209 of 2026;
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.237 of 2026; Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.243 of 2026;
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.256 of 2026; Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.278 of 2026;
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.281 of 2026; Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.288 of 2026;
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.300 of 2026; Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.313 of 2026;
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.326 of 2026; Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.333 of 2026;
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.343 of 2026; Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.366 of 2026;
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.416 of 2026;**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Appellants : Mr. R.S. Mazumdar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Aprajita Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Kshitiz Priyanshu, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Vineet Kr. Vashistha, Spl.P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. L.C.N. Shahedeo, Adv.

05/Dated: 18th June, 2026

1. Learned counsel for the State is not in a position to point out the crime committed by one and another appellant. Without commission of crime, no persons can be kept behind the bar. So far as invocation of the SC/ST (PoA) Act is concerned, there has to be a victim who has suffered the crime and has lodged a complaint, but no such victim has been disclosed. Thus, there is neither any victim nor the crime has been disclosed, still persons are behind the bar. This is a serious matter.
2. Learned counsel for the State is granted an opportunity to come thoroughly prepared in the matters.
3. As prayed for, let these cases be listed on 23.06.2026.

I.A. No.6771 of 2026 in Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.196 of 2026

4. The appellant namely Kumari Ratnakar @ Ratnakar Kumari @ Ratnakar Devi who is in custody since 09.01.2026 has preferred this interlocutory application for grant of provisional bail in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No.32 of 2016 corresponding to Special Vigilance Case No.34 of 2016, registered for the offence

under Sections 406, 409, 419, 420, 423, 424, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 3(1)(v) & 4 of the S.C./S.T. (PoA) Act and under Sections 13(2) r/w 13(1)(c) and 13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-X-cum-Special Judge (ACB), Dhanbad.

5. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant that she has an especially abled female child aged about seven years and she needs care of her mother but her mother is in custody and as such the child is suffering a lot. On the above basis, the prayer for provisional has been made.

6. Considering the above aspects, I am inclined to enlarge the appellant on provisional bail for one month from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. Accordingly, the appellant, named above, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-X-cum-Special Judge (ACB), Dhanbad in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No.32 of 2016 corresponding to Special Vigilance Case No.34 of 2016, subject to condition that the applicant(s) will submit self-attested photocopy/photocopies of his/her/their Aadhaar Card(s) and also submit his/her/their mobile number(s) before the trial court which he/she/they will always keep active and will not change it during pendency of this case without prior permission of the court.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Dated: 18th June, 2026
Amar-Raja/-
Uploaded