

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
S.A. No. 616 of 2015

Rajendra Deogam Appellant

Versus

Jambira Deogam & Ors. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant : Mr. S.K. Upadhyay, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Amit Kr. Das, Advocate

: Ms. Swati Shalini, Advocate

: Ms. Aprajita Sinha, Advocate

Order No.06 Dated- 12.09.2024

Heard the parties.

The learned counsel for the appellant submits that the defect as pointed out by the stamp reporter at serial no.9 is to the effect that the name of the presiding officer given in Annexure-3 be mentioned.

It is next submitted that the signature of the presiding officer at Annexure-3 is illegible. Hence, it is submitted that the same be ignored.

Considering the aforesaid fact, the defect as pointed out by the stamp reporter at serial no.9 is ignored.

Learned counsel for the appellant prays for time to remove the defect no.10 as pointed out by the stamp reporter.

The appellant is directed to remove the defect no.10 as pointed out by the stamp reporter within four weeks, failing which, this appeal shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Bench.