

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (Cr.) No. 129 of 2026

Rashmi Kumari, W/o Prabhu Nath Singh, aged about 35 years,
R/o Panch Rukhi, P.O. & P.S. Katras, District-Dhanbad

--- --- Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Dhanbad, P.O. & P.S. Dhanbad, District Dhanbad.
3. Senior Superintendent of Police, Dhanbad having office at Luby Circular Road, P.O. & P.S. Dhanbad, District-Dhanbad.
4. Superintendent of Police, Dhanbad having office at Luby Circular Road, P.O. & P.S. Dhanbad, District Dhanbad.
5. Officer-in-charge, Barbadda Police Station, Office at Barbadda, P.O. & P.S. Barbadda, District Dhanbad.
6. Rajnikant, S/o Not known to the petitioner, working as Office-in-charge of Barbadda Police Station, P.O. & P.S. Barbadda, District Dhanbad.

--- --- Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rongon Mukhopadhyay

For the Petitioner: Mr. Lukesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondents: Mrs. Moushmi Chatterjee, A.C. to G.A.-
V.

02 / 10.04.2026 Heard Mr. Lukesh Kumar, learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned A.C. to G.A.-V.

2. In this writ application, the petitioner has prayed for a direction upon the respondents, especially, respondent No.5 to show-cause as to under what authority without issuance of notice, the boundary wall of the business premises of the petitioner has been demolished.

3. It has been submitted by the learned Counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner runs a business of manufacturing of coke and refined petroleum products under a valid licence.

4. The petitioner was carrying on her business activities and on 16.12.2025 the respondent No.6 without any notice to the petitioner had forcibly demolished the boundary wall of the business premises of the petitioner which led the petitioner to submit a representation before the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Dhanbad (respondent No.3) but no action has been taken as yet.

5. In view of the fact that the representation is pending before the respondent No.3, this writ application stands disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass a reasoned order after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

6. This writ application stands disposed of.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

10th April, 2026
P.K.S.
Uploaded