

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 2401 of 2026

Binni Dhan, aged about 25 years, Son of Dhankuwar Dhan, Resident
of Village -Chota Baru, P.O. – Khunti, P.S.- Khunti, District – Khunti.
..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand **..... Opp. Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sinha, A.P.P.

Order No. 02/Dated: 25th March, 2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Karra P.S. Case No. 27 of 2024, corresponding to G.R. No. 271 of 2024 (S.T. No. 19 of 2025), registered for the offence under Sections 323, 341, 364, 384, 385, 395 of the I.P.C. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.
3. It has been alleged that petitioner along with other co-accused persons had committed dacoity.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that this is a case of dacoity and the name of this petitioner has transpired on the disclosure made by apprehended co-accused persons. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 09.03.2024 i.e. for more than two years, but no TIP has been conducted.
5. It is further submitted that several co-accused have been granted bail i.e. Santosh Khoya vide order dated 27.01.2026 passed in A.B.A. No. 5548/2026, Upen Sanga @ Lamu and Ajay Kachhap @ Vijay Dhan vide order dated 01.08.2024 and 26.09.2024 passed in B.A. No. 5782 of 2024 and B.A. No. 8491 of 2024 respectively and Amit Khoya @ Pandey Munda and Bawna @ Ramesh Tuti vide order dated 16.04.2025 and 25.09.2025 passed in B.A. No. 3005 of 2025

and B.A. No. 8395 of 2025 respectively by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court and the case of petitioner stands on similar footing. Petitioner undertakes to co-operate in investigation / trial of the case and also abide by all terms and conditions which may be imposed in the matter of granting bail to the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner may be extended the privilege of bail.

6. Learned Addl.P.P appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner but has fairly admitted that the case of the petitioner stands on similar footing to that of co-accused, who have been granted bail, as stated above.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the period of custody, I am inclined to release the above-named petitioner, on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner named above, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District & Additional Sessions Judge, 1st, Khunti, in connection with Karra P.S. Case No. 27 of 2024, corresponding to G.R. No. 271 of 2024 (S.T. No. 19 of 2025).

8. The bail application is allowed.

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

March 25, 2026

Sunil/

Uploaded on 26/03/2026