

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 566 of 2025

Rajesh Kumar Mishra, aged about 45 years, Son of Jay Kumar Mishra, Resident of At Khatin, P.O.-Chhattarpur, P.S.- Chhattarpur, District-Palamu, Jharkhand **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Shri L. Khiangte, Father's name not known to the petitioner, The Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand, At- Project Building, P.O. & P.S.- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
3. Shri Uma Shankar Singh, Fathers, name not known to the petitioner, The Principal Secretary, School Education and Literacy Department, Government of Jharkhand, At-Project Building, P.O. & P.S.- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
4. Shri Uma Shankar Singh, Fathers, name not known to the petitioner, The Secretary, State Executive Committee, Jharkhand Education Project Council, having its office near JSCA Stadium Road, Jagarnathpur, Sector-3, P.O. & P.S.-Dhurwa, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
5. Shri Bhunesh Pratap Singh, Father's name not known to the petitioner, The Director Primary Education, School Education and Literacy Department, Government of Jharkhand, At Project Building, P.O. & P.S.- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
6. Shri Aditya Ranjan, Fathers' name not known to the petitioner, The State Project Director, Jharkhand Education Project Council, having its office near JSCA Stadium Road, Jagarnathpur, Sector-3, P.O. & P.S.-Dhurwa, District-Ranchi, Jharkhand.
7. Shri Nitin Madan Kulkarni, Fathers' name not known to the petitioner, The Divisional Commissioner, Palamu Division, At- Medininagar, P.O. & P.S.-Daltonganj, District- Palamu, Jharkhand.
8. Shri Durganand Jha, Fathers' name not known to the petitioner, The Regional Joint Director of Education, Palamu Division, At-Medininagar, P.O. & P.S.-Daltonganj, District- Palamu, Jharkhand.
9. Shri S. D. Tigga, Fathers' name not known to the petitioner, The Administrative Officer, Jharkhand Education Project Council, having its office at Dhurwa, P.O. & P.S.-Dhurwa, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.
10. Shri Shashi Ranjan, Fathers' name not known to the petitioner, The Deputy Commissioner cum President, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Palamu, office at Medininagar, P.O. & P.S.- Daltonganj, District- Palamu, Jharkhand
11. Sri. Durga Nand Jha, Fathers' name not known to the petitioner, The District Education Officer-cum-Program Officer, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Palamu, At-Medininagar, P.O. & P.S.- Daltonganj, District- Palamu, Jharkhand.
12. Shri Randhir Kujur, Fathers' name not known to the petitioner, The District Superintendent of Education-cum-Additional District Program

Officer, Palamu, At-Medininagar, P.O. & P.S.- Daltonganj, District- Palamu, Jharkhand.

- | | | |
|-----|--|---------------------|
| 13. | Shri Ram Naresh Ram, Fathers' name not known to the petitioner, The Block Education Extension Officer-cum-convener, Chhattarpur Block, At- Chhattarpur, P.O. & P.S.- Chhattarpur, District- Palamu, Jharkhand. | |
| 14. | Shri Koleshwar Das, Fathers' name not known to the petitioner, The Block Education Extension Officer-cum-convener, Naudiha Block, At Naudiha, P.O. & P.S.-Naudiha, District- Palamu, Jharkhand. | |
| 15. | Executive Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Medininagar, Palamu. | |
| 16. | Executive Officer, Chhatarpur Nagar Panchayat Nikay, Chhatarpur, Palamu, Jharkhand. | |
| | ... | ... |
| | | Opp. Parties |

... ... **Opp. Parties**

— — —

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

■■■

23/28.08.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner Mr. Abhijeet Kr. Singh, Advocate is present.
2. Learned counsel for the State Mr. Kishore Kr. Singh, SC-V is present.
3. Learned counsel for the opposite party JEPC Mr. Krishna Murari, Advocate and Mr. Raj Vardhan, Advocate are present.
4. This petition has been filed for initiating contempt proceeding against opposite parties for their willful and deliberate non-compliance of order dated 01.07.2024 passed by this Court in W.P.(S) No. 6183 of 2023.
5. A show cause has been filed stating that the order passed by this Court has been complied and the claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

6. Considering the fact that an order has been passed, which has been placed on record, this Court is not inclined to proceed further in this contempt proceeding.

7. However, right is reserved with the petitioner to take appropriate steps in connection with the order annexed with the show cause, as may be permissible under the law.

8. Accordingly, this contempt case is disposed of.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

28.08.2026

Rakesh/-

Uploaded on:-29.08.2026