

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.1631 of 2025

1. Angani Oraon, aged about 45 years, wife of Ramlakhan Oraon;
2. Ramlakhan Oraon, aged about 49 years, son of Gangu Oraon
Both residents of Village- Uchri, P.O. + P.S.- Nagri, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand, presently residing at village- Bero, P.O. & P.S. – Bero, District- Ranchi

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand.

... .. Opposite Party

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Venkateshwar Gopal, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sunil Kumar Dubey, A.P.P.
For the Informant : Mrs. Shruti Shrestha, Advocate

05/ 09.07.2025

Heard the parties.

2. This anticipatory bail application under Section 482 & 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, have been preferred by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Bero P.S. Case No.85/2023, for offence under Sections 406, 420/34 of the IPC. The case is presently pending before the Court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Ranchi.

3. Learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that the allegations in the F.I.R. is in respect of sale and purchase of land and playing fraud in the same transaction.

4. Learned A.P.P. representing the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

5. Admittedly, the punishment under the aforesaid two Sections are seven years or less and thus is covered by the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Another**, reported in **(2022) 10 SCC 51** as well as the observation made in the case of **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of**

Investigation & Another reported in **(2021) 10 SCC 773**.

6. The learned APP admits that the petitioners appeared before the I.O. and are cooperating in the investigation and there is no necessity to arrest the petitioners.

7. Considering the aforesaid submissions, I am of the view that these petitioners do not have any apprehension of being arrested, thus this anticipatory bail application, at this stage is misconceived. The petitioners should appear before the trial Court and will cooperate in the investigation by appearing before the I.O. once in every fifteen days. Once the petitioners appear, the trial Court will pass an appropriate order in view of the Judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Satender Kumar Antil (supra)***.

8. In view of the aforesaid observation, this Anticipatory Bail Application stands **disposed of**.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)