

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.2048 of 2025

Mathura Prasad Chaudhary, S/o late Triveni Choudhary, R/o village Kandalaga, PO Panjania, PS Barwadda, District Dhanbad

... ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1.The State of Jharkhand.

2.Binod Singh, S/o late Bacchu Pd. Singh, R/o Bhuli Nagar, PO and PS Bhuli, District Dhanbad

... ... Opposite Party(s)

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Mahavir Pd. Sinha, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Gautam Rakesh, A.P.P.

03/ 07.07.2025

Heard the parties.

2. This anticipatory bail application under Sections 482 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, has been preferred by the petitioner apprehending his arrest for offences registered under Sections 323/341/379/387/420/406 of the Indian Penal Code pending in the court of J.M., Dhanbad, in connection with C.P. Case No. 351 of 2019.

3. Learned A.P.P. representing the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

4. This case arises out of complaint. Since the case arises out of complaint and cognizance has already been taken and summons have been issued there is no question of custodial interrogation. Thus the apprehension of the petitioner that he will be arrested is misconceived.

5. Further offence punishable is for a period of seven years or less, thus, this case falls within the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Satender Kumar Antil vs. Central**

Bureau of Investigation and Another” reported in **(2021) 10 SCC 773** and **“Satender Kumar Antil vs. CBI and Anr.”** reported in **(2022) 10 SCC 51** and several orders passed by this Court.

6. Thus, the petitioner is directed to appear before the court concerned who will pass an order in terms of the judgment passed in **“Satender Kumar Antil”** (supra).

7. Accordingly, this Anticipatory Bail Application stands **disposed of.**

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

Tanuj/Cp-3