

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (Cr.) No. 141 of 2026

Sanju Devi ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand;
2. Inspector General of Police, Police Headquarters, Dhurwa, Ranchi, Jharkhand;
3. Inspector General of Police, Chotanagpur Zone, Doranda, Ranchi, Jharkhand;
4. Superintendent of Police, Khunti. ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Mahesh Kr. Verma, Advocate

For the State : None

02/10.04.2026

Heard Mr. Mahesh Kr. Verma, the learned counsel for the petitioner. None appears on behalf of the State.

In this writ application, the petitioner has prayed for quashing of the letter, issued by the then Inspector General of Police, Crime & Investigation, Jharkhand, dated 05.12.2025, whereby a fresh CID investigation has been initiated in connection with Khunti P.S. Case No.202 of 2025.

A First Information Report was instituted wherein it has been alleged that the minor daughter of the informant was the victim of cyber crime, which had led to psychological and physical trauma of the victim. It has further been alleged that Pintu Singh was the main accused who had created multiple fake accounts and had caused damage to the mental and physical health of the victim. The said Pintu Singh is also alleged to have taken obscene photographs of the victim and circulated the same to his friends through Instagram and this was repeated in the month of October-November, 2024.

It appears that after the conclusion of the investigation, charge-sheet has been submitted against Pintu Singh. The petitioner happens to be the mother of Pintu Singh, who is aggrieved by the letter dated 05.12.2025, issued by the then Inspector General of Police for initiation of a fresh CID investigation in connection with Khunti P.S. Case No.202 of

2025.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the respondent no.3 does not have any jurisdiction to initiate a fresh investigation. It has further been submitted that since the father of the victim is an Executive Magistrate, therefore, the respondent no.3 was compelled to order for a fresh investigation into the offence. Learned counsel adds that the act of the respondent no.3 is against the provisions of the Police Manual.

As it has been noted above based on the submission advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is the mother of Pintu Singh, who is the prime accused and whose culpability has been detected in course of investigation leading to submission of charge-sheet against him. The order for a fresh investigation is with respect to the involvement of the other accused persons and therefore, the petitioner cannot have any grievance having already been charge-sheeted in Khunti P.S. Case No.202 of 2025. The allegations are serious in nature touching upon the psychological wellness of a minor victim in which the petitioner has played a pivotal part as per the investigation conducted by the police and considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to entertain this writ application which accordingly stands dismissed.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

10.04.2026

S.B. Uploaded on 14.04.2026