

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**  
**Criminal Revision No. 197 of 2024**  
**With**  
**I.A. No. 5212 of 2025**

Nakul Singh

..... Petitioner

**Versus**

1.The State of Jharkhand

2.Abdul Hamid Ansari

..... Opp.Parties

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRASAD**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vimlesh Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. V.S. Sahay, A.P.P.

**15/09.07.2025** This Criminal Revision Application has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner challenging the judgment dated 24.01.2024, passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Garhwa in Criminal Appeal No. 30 of 2023, whereby the Criminal Appeal No. 30 of 2023 has been dismissed by affirming the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 22.05.2023, passed in G.R. Case No. 802 of 2005, arising out of Garhwa P.S. Case No. 225 of 2005 by Sri Santosh Anand Prasad, learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Garhwa, whereby the petitioner has been convicted for the offence under Sections 279, 337, 338 of I.P.C. and sentenced to R.I. for Six (06) months for the offence under Section 279 of I.P.C., S.I. for Four (04) months for offence under Section 337 I.P.C., R.I. for One (01) year and to pay the fine of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One thousand) for the offence under Section 338 I.P.C.

However, all the sentences have been directed to run concurrently.

**2.** It is alleged in the Fardbayan that on 07.09.2005 at about 04.00 P.M., while the son of the

Informant was crossing the road, then in the meantime, a Bauxite Dumper bearing Reg. No. CG-12 9197, being driven rashly and negligently by the Driver, dashed his son, due to which his son sustained injury in his left leg and his upper teeth was broken. It is further alleged that the Driver of the said Dumper tried to flee away but he was apprehended with the help of the nearby people and the victim was brought to the Garhwa Hospital and from where the Driver of the said dumper fled away.

**I.A. No. 5212 of 2025**

**3.** The instant Interlocutory Application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail during pendency of the Criminal Revision.

**4.** Heard Mr. Vimlesh Kumar, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. V.S. Sahay, learned A.P.P. for the State.

**5.** It is submitted that the impugned judgments and order of sentence passed by the learned Court below are illegal, arbitrary and not sustainable in the eye of law. It is submitted that the petitioner has been arrested on mere suspicion.

**6.** On the other hand, learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail.

**7.** It appears that the petitioner was the Driver of the offending vehicle in question.

**8.** It appears that the petitioner is in custody since 19.03.2025.

**9.** Considering the facts and the circumstances of the case and considering the custody of the petitioner, the sentence of the petitioner, namely Nakul Singh is suspended during pendency of this

Criminal Revision and the Petitioner, namely Nakul Singh is directed to be released on Bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with G.R. Case No. 802 of 2005, arising out of Garhwa P.S. Case No. 225 of 2005, to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Garhwa.

**10.** Thus, I.A. No. 5212 of 2025 is allowed and stands disposed of.

**Criminal Revision No. 197 of 2024**

**11.** Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to implead the Informant as O.P. No. 2 in this Criminal Revision Application during course of the day.

**12.** Issue Notice upon the newly added O.P. No.2 by Registered Post with A/D, for which requisites must be filed within two weeks, failing which this case shall be dismissed without further reference to the Bench.

**13.** Call for the legible scanned copy of the Lower Court Record from the learned Court below.

**14.** Put up this case on 11.09.2025.

**(Sanjay Prasad, J.)**

s.m.