

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1663 of 2026

XXXXXXX

..... ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand
..... ... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ashish Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Sardhu Mahto, A.P.P.

06/ 16.04.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P
for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Dhanwar P.S. Case No. 207 of 2025, registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 74, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Giridih.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and there are general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. He next submits that in the present case, one of the lady has already been provided the privilege of anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Judge.

4. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has opposed the prayer and submits that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of making assault upon a lady and due to the assault made by this petitioner upon the body of a lady, the abortion took place. On these grounds he submits that anticipatory bail may not be provided to the petitioner.

5. In the FIR, there is direct allegation of making assault and pursuant to the said assault, the pregnancy of two months of a lady has

been terminated. So far as the anticipatory bail granted to a lady by the learned Sessions Judge is concerned, the allegation of assault is not there against her.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, named above. As such, his prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-16.04.2026
Amitesh/-