

(2026:JHHC:13407)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3843 of 2026

Shravan Kumar Das @ Shravan Das, Aged about 23 years,
S/o -Late Raju Das, R/o -Parghari, P.O.+P.S. -Sabour,
District -Bhagalpur (Bihar).

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Pratiush Lala, Advocate
	: Mr. Deepak Sahu, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Satish Prasad, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 06.05.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No.13 of 2024 corresponding to G.R. Case No.745 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 365/34 of the Indian Penal Code whereby cognizance has been taken under Section 365/302/201/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner abducted Bikash Kumar Mandal and committed his murder. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused person and except the confessional statement of the co-accused and suspicion, there is no other material in the record to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is then submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para -17 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 28.09.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 01 of the bail application. It is then submitted that the co-accused person has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order

dated 28.04.2026 in B.A. No. 2195 of 2026. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., Sahibganj, in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No.13 of 2024 corresponding to G.R. Case No.745 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

06.05.2026
Sonu/