

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 296 of 2026

Vinod Ganjhu, aged about 41 years, S/o. Late Sanichar Ganjhu, Vill.-
Jordag, P.O.- Lawalong, P.S.- Lawalong, Dist.- Chatra, Jharkhand.

... .. Appellant

-Versus -

The State of Jharkhand

... .. Respondent

CORAM: - Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rongon Mukhopadhyay
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Pradeep Kumar Srivastava

For the Appellant : Mr. Shahid Yunus, Advocate

For the State : Mrs. Vandana Bharti, A.P.P.

Order No. 02/Dated: 18.03.2026

Heard Mr. Shahid Yunus, learned counsel for the appellant and
learned A.P.P.

2. This appeal is directed against the order dated 09.02.2026
passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Latehar in B.P.
No. 50/2026 arising out of Balumath P.S. Case No. 160/2019,
whereby and whereunder, the prayer for bail of the appellant has been
rejected.

3. It has been alleged that on a raid conducted by the police in the
forest, three persons were apprehended and from their possession,
various incriminating articles were recovered.

4. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that
appellant has been implicated on the confessional statement of
apprehended accused persons. Learned counsel further submits that
the several of the co-accused persons have been granted bail in Cr.
Appeal (DB) No. 1197 of 2025, Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 1181 of 2023,
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 737 of 2025 and Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 162 of
2024. Learned counsel submits that the appellant is in custody since
23.12.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. though has opposed the prayer for bail of the
appellant but has not disputed the aforesaid facts.

6. Regard being had to the above, we, while setting aside the order dated 09.02.2026 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Latehar in B.P. No. 50/2026 arising out of Balumath P.S. Case No. 160/2019, direct that the appellant be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M, Latehar in connection with Balumath P.S. Case No. 160/2019 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 586/2019, subject to the condition that appellant shall remain physically present before the learned trial court on each and every date till the conclusion of the trial.
7. This appeal is allowed.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

18 March 2026

Rahul/

Uploaded on- 19.03.2026