

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI  
Cr. M. P. No. 1058 of 2017

---

Md. Faiz Rabbani ... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & another ... .. Opposite Parties

---

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY**

---

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party No. 1 : A.P.P.

For the Opposite Party No. 2 : Mr. Sujit Kumar Singh, Advocate

---

17/24.11.2025      The pre-cursor to the institution of Complaint Case No. 896 of 2014 appears to be a case lodged under Section 498A of I.P.C. by Aaradhana Mandal against Sujit Kumar Singh and others being Tilaiya P. S. Case No. 346 of 2013, in which it has been stated by the learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 who happens to be an accused in the earlier case that the matter was compromised between him and his wife namely, Aaradhana Mandal and he has adhered to the terms and conditions of the compromise by returning the articles, but the application seeking divorce on mutual consent under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act has not been signed by his wife.

Since the subsequent case appears to be a follow-up of the earlier case and without making any observation on the merit of the present case, it would be desirable that efforts be made for a compromise between the parties in the earlier case.

As such, Mr. Sanjay Prasad, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner is directed to add Aaradhana Mandal wife of Sujit Kumar Singh as opposite party no. 3.

Issue notice to the newly added opposite party no. 3 as to why this application be not admitted and/or be disposed of at the admission stage itself for which requisites under speed post as well as through ordinary process be filed within a week.

Let this case be listed on 12.01.2026 under the heading 'For Orders' on which date the newly added opposite party no. 3 as well as Sujit

-2-

Kumar Singh shall remain physically present before this court.

This order be being passed in presence of Mr. Sujit Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing for the opposite party no. 2.

Let a copy of this order be served upon the learned counsel for the respective parties.

In the meantime, the interim order dated 23.11.2017 shall continue.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

24<sup>th</sup> October, 2025  
R. Shekhar Cp 3