

Neutral Citation No. (2026:JHHC:7327)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(Cr.) No. 115 of 2026

Tanveer Alam

... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through its Chief Secretary, Office at Project Bhawan, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagannathpur, District Ranchi (Jharkhand)
2. The Director General of Police, Jharkhand Police, Police Headquarter, P.O+P.S- Dhurwa, Dist- Ranchi
3. The DIG-Cum-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, having its office at Collectorate Building, Kutchery, P.O. GPO, P.S. Kotwali, District- Ranchi (Jharkhand)
4. City Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, having its office at Circular Road, near Rajbhavan, Ahirtoli, P.O+P.S- Lalpur, District- Ranchi (Jharkhand)
5. Officer-in-charge, Lower Bazaar P.S., P.O. & P.S. Lower Bazaar, District- Ranchi (Jharkhand).
6. Assistant-Superintendent-Inspector, Shyamji Sharma, Lower Bazaar P.S., P.O. & P.S. Lower Bazaar, District- Ranchi (Jharkhand)
7. Mr. Avinash Verma, son of not know to the petitioner, Manager, HDB FINANCIAL SERVICES LTD., Add- 1st floor, Nile Complex, Shop-03, Old HB Road, Kantatoli, P.O-Kantatoli, P.S. Chutia, District-Ranchi, Jharkhand, 834001

...

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner

: Ms. Arti Kumari, Advocate

For the State

: AC to AG

Order No. 02

Dated 17th March, 2026

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the respective parties.

In this writ application, the petitioner has prayed for declaring the action of the respondent bank official as well as the SHO and ASI of Lower Bazar P.S. as arbitrary and illegal as they without any notice had seized the petitioner's vehicles bearing registration No. JH01FL-6348 and JH02Z-6399.

It appears that the vehicles purchased by the petitioner were financed and since the instalments were not paid by the petitioner, the vehicles were repossessed by the agents of the finance company.

Though it has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner did not receive any notice prior to the repossession of the vehicles, but such submission is without any basis on consideration of the fact

that the finance company had repossessed the vehicles since the petitioner has failed to make payment of the instalments.

On such consideration, I am not inclined to entertain this writ application, which accordingly stands dismissed.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY,J.)

17.03.2026

MK