

(2026:JHHC:11656)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 2242 of 2026

Harsh Kumar Sharma @ Harsh Sharma, Age -23 years, S/o -
Uma Shankar Sharma, R/o -Kishoreganj, Road No.5/1, P.O.
-G.P.O., P.S. -Sukhdeo Nagar, Dist. -Ranchi, Jharkhand.

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rahul Pandey, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Manoj Kr. Mishra, Addl. P.P.

Order No.03 Dated- 21.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Sukhdeo Nagar P.S. Case No.88 of 2025(N) corresponding to N.D.P.S. Case No.50 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 21(b)/22/29 of the NDPS Act and under Section 111(2)(b) of the BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is the second journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail and earlier the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was rejected on merits vide order dated 04.08.2025 in B.A. No. 6352 of 2025. It is further submitted that the fresh ground is that the petitioner has been in custody for some more time. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that since the prayer for bail of the petitioner has already been rejected on merit, the mere fact that the petitioner has remained in custody for some more time is not a ground to review the order by which the prayer for bail of the petitioner has been rejected on merit. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be admitted to bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and his criminal antecedent as also the fact that the

prayer for bail of the petitioner has already been rejected on merit and there is no fresh ground to reconsider the prayer for bail, this Court is not inclined to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected for the same reasons as mentioned in the order dated 04.08.2025 in B.A. No. 6352 of 2025.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

21.04.2026
Sonu/