

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S) No. 780 of 2024

CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S. N. PATHAK

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sujit Kumar Singh, Advocate [Through VC]

For the Respondents: Ms. Pinky Tewari, AC to AG

For the JSSC : Mr. Sanjay Piprawal, Advocate

Mr. Prince Kumar, Advocate

Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, Advocate

Mr. Jay Prakash, Advocate

09/18.11.2024 Heard the parties.

2. It is specific contention of the petitioner that as per Rule 12.2, the 50% of the seats have to be reserved for 'JANSEVAK' direct recruit and 50% have to be reserved for recruitment by way of promotion.

3. Mr. Sujit Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner through VC argues that in the advertisement no. 18/2023, dated 31.12.2023, there is no stipulation that the 50% seats are to be filled up from the quota of JANSEVAK through promotion.

4. Ms. Pinky Tewari, AC to learned AG submits that petitioner does not fulfill the requisite criteria and qualification and as such his case has not been considered for promotion.

5. To fulfill the requisite qualification and criteria is entirely a different issue. As per the Rule, State ought to have come out with a specific stipulation in the advertisement that 50% seats shall be filled up by JANSEVAK by way of direct promotion.

6. Respondents – State as well as JSSC are directed to file specific affidavit bringing on record as to whether Rules were considered before coming with the advertisement in question.

7. As prayed, put up this case after four weeks.

8. Any appointment pursuant to Advertisement No. 18/2023, dated 31.12.2023, shall be subject to outcome of the instant writ petition.

(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)