

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No. 780 of 2024

Ravindra Baraik.

... ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Others.

... ... Respondent(s)

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sujit Kumar Singh, Advocate. (Through V.C.)
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Sanjoy Piprawall, Advocate.
Mr. Prince Kumar, Advocate.
Mr. Jay Prakash, Advocate.
Mr. Amitesh Kumar Geasen, A.C. to A.A.G.-IA.
Ms. Sonal Tiwary A.C. to A.G.
Ms. Shriyashi Shekhar, Advocate.

20/ 19th March, 2026

I.A. No. 6591 of 2024, I.A. No. 6592 of 2024, I.A. No. 6593 of 2024, I.A. No. 6594 of 2024

1. All the above interlocutory applications have been preferred by the intervenors for impleading them as petitioners in this writ petition.
2. Each intervenor sought relief based on a separate cause of action.
3. They initially did not file any writ petition and now, when the petitioner has filed the present writ petition, they filed intervention application for similar reliefs.
4. By filing an intervention application, the intervenors cannot get the similar relief which the petitioner is claiming for.
5. Accordingly, these interlocutory applications filed by the intervenors are dismissed with a liberty to intervenors to file separate writ petitions.

W.P.(S) No. 780 of 2024

6. List this case under the heading for "Admission".

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

19.03.2026
Amar/-