

(2026:JHHC:12828)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 2877 of 2026

Shubham Kalindi @ Bittu Kalindi @ Bittu, aged about 27 years,
son of Satish Kalindi, resident of Banadungri, PO & PS – Kandra,
District – Saraikella – Kharsawan (Jharkhand).... ..**Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand.

... ..**Opp. Party**

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Sahay Gaurav Piyush, Advocate
For the State	: Ms. Bandana Sinha, Addl. P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

Order No:- 03, Dated:- 30th April, 2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S. T. No. 179 of 2025 (arising out of Kandra P.S. Case No. 15 of 2025) registered for the offences punishable under sections 308(4), 309(6) of the B.N.S. along with Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner committed extortion and robbery and from his possession, two live bullets, were recovered. It is next submitted that the main allegation of firing upon the informant is on Rahul Mahto and the other person accompanying Rahul Mahto at the time of demanding extortion, was Jitendra Mahto @ Fuchu. It is next submitted that the role of the petitioner in the said occurrence was that he watched the occurrence from a distance. It is next submitted that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name is implicated in this case only on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused persons.

It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the petitioner has been in custody since 31.07.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 12 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the

witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of *learned Additional Sessions Judge - I, Saraikella, Jharkhand*, in connection with *S. T. No. 179 of 2025 (arising out of Kandra P.S. Case No. 15 of 2025)* with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)