

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

(Civil Miscellaneous Jurisdiction)

**Contempt Case (Civil) No. 150 of 2024**

M/s Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Ltd. ... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand and others ... .. Opposite Parties

With

**Contempt Case (Civil) Nos.152 of 2024, 153 of 2024 and 154 of 2024**

-----

**CORAM: HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVNEET KUMAR**

-----

|                        |                                     |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner(s): | Mr. Ajit Kumar, Sr. Advocate        |
|                        | Mr. Nitin Kumar Pasari, Advocate    |
|                        | Mr. Udit Gupta, Advocate            |
|                        | Mrs. Sidhi Jalan, Advocate          |
| For the JBVNL:         | Mr. Rajiv Ranjan, Advocate General  |
|                        | Mr. Sachin Kumar, Sr. S.C.          |
| For the State:         | Mr. Sharad Kaushal, A.C. to AAG-III |

-----

**Order No. 06 /Dated: 13<sup>th</sup> June 2024**

After the order passed by this Court on 19<sup>th</sup> April 2024, the JBVNL made payment of Rs. 30,16,17,161/- to M/s Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Ltd.

2. In the affidavit dated 11<sup>th</sup> June 2024, the opposite party No.2 has pleaded that vide letter dated 17<sup>th</sup> May 2024 the petitioner-firm was requested to provide details for reconciliation and verification of its claims within next seven days and, in response thereof, the petitioner-firm vide letter dated 20<sup>th</sup> May 2024 submitted the details of the amount withheld against “impact of GST” along with calculation of interest upto 20<sup>th</sup> May 2024 on the withheld amount.

3. According to Mr. Sachin Kumar, the learned Senior Standing Counsel for the JBVNL, the JBVNL has made payment of principal amount as per its calculation but certain deutes are sought to be agitated on behalf of the petitioner-firm. The learned counsel further submits that requisite documents and calculation sheet have yet not been provided by the petitioner-firm.

4. Before the recess, after having heard the learned Advocate General and the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner-firm, this Court had

asked both the parties to put forth their proposal for a settlement of the dispute as regards payment in terms of the order passed by this Court in W.P.(T) No. 4885 of 2022 and analogous cases.

5. After the recess, Mr. Ajit Kumar, the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner-firm has appraised this Court that he has instructions from the authorized officer of the petitioner-firm to make concessions for the delay on the part of the petitioner-firm on account of which conciliation and verification of the records could not take place.

6. However, as regards payment of interest in terms of the writ Court's order, there seems to be certain doubt and dispute.

7. In the facts and circumstances of this case, this Court is of the opinion that an opportunity should be granted to the petitioner-firm to file an affidavit.

8. Till further order of this Court, the personal appearance of the contemnor-opposite party No.2 is dispensed with.

9. Post these matters on 10<sup>th</sup> July 2024.

**(Shree Chandrashekhar, A.C.J.)**

**(Navneet Kumar, J.)**

VK