

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 1833 of 2026

Hopna Marandi, S/O- Ramsay Marandi ... **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... **Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pratiush Lala, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Satish Kumar Keshri, A.P.P.

For the Informant : Ms. Saba Ali, Advocate

04/18.04.2026 Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 30.11.2025 in connection with Barhait P.S. Case No. 187 of 2025 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 65/2026, registered under Section 69 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, now pending in the court of learned S.D.J.M., Sahibganj.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has placed the F.I.R. and has submitted that as per the F.I.R. itself, the informant-victim developed love-relationship with the petitioner and it is alleged that on the pretext of marriage, repeated physical relationship was established and on numerous occasions the physical relationship was established at the residence of the victim.

4. The learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that the victim is a major aged 24 years and the petitioner is in custody since 30.11.2025.

5. The learned counsel for the opposite party-informant has opposed the prayer and has submitted that on false pretext of marriage the petitioner has developed physical relationship with the victim. However, the other submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner are not in dispute. She has also submitted that the petitioner and the victim have been meeting each other even outside home, but ultimately the petitioner blocked the phone number of the victim and the case was lodged.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and

considering the manner in which the offence is alleged to have been committed and particularly as per the F.I.R. itself, the victim developed love-relationship with the petitioner and considering the fact that the charge sheet has already been submitted, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty-five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Sahibganj in connection with Barhait P.S. Case No. 187 of 2025 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 65/2026, on the following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.
- (ii) The other bailor should be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of even single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.
- (iv) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhaar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.
- (v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned trial court.

7. The instant application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

8. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through "FAX/email".

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order: 18.04.2026

Vedanti

Date of Uploading: 20.04.2026