

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 328 of 2026

Md. Reyaz @ Raju Kalal, aged about 44 years, son of Abdul Qaiyum, resident of Village – Near Flahe Insniyat School, Panderpala, Bishanpur, P.O. and P.S. – Bankmore, District – Dhanbad.

..... **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant : Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Vishwanath Roy, Spl.P.P.

Order No. 02/Dated: 20th March, 2026

1. Heard Mr. Ravi Prakash, learned counsel for the appellant and learned A.P.P.
2. This appeal is directed against the order dated 18.12.2025 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-XI, Dhanbad in ABP No. 3012/2025 arising out of Bank More P.S. Case No. 184/2025, whereby and whereunder, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellant has been rejected.
3. It has been alleged that one bomb was hurled in the house of the informant by Natu Quraishi.
4. Submission has been advanced by learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant has been implicated on the confession of co-accused.
5. It has further been submitted that the informant and the appellant had inimical term from before and in fact, two cases have been instituted earlier by the informant against the appellant. In one of the cases, compromise has already been effected. Learned counsel submits that save and except the confessional statement of the co-accused, there is no other material to connect the appellant with the said offence. It has also been submitted that not a single person had suffered injury on account of the bomb hurled by Natu Quraishi.

6. Learned Spl.P.P. has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellant.
7. Regard being had to the manner of implication of the appellant and enmity which was earlier existing between the appellant and the informant as highlighted by the learned counsel for the appellant, we, while setting aside the order dated 18.12.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-XI, Giridih in A.B.P. No. 3012 of 2025, arising out of Bankmore P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025, direct the appellant, above named, to surrender before the learned trial court within a period of four weeks and on his surrender, the learned trial court shall release him on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Dhanbad in connection with Bankmore P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.
8. This appeal is allowed.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

March 20, 2026

Sunil/

Uploaded on : 25/03/2026