

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Contempt Case (Civil) No. 182 of 2019

Shiva Shankar Panday **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand and Anr. **Opposite Parties**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR

For the Petitioner : In-person

For the State : Mr. L.C. N. Shahdeo, G.P. VI

06/26.07.2019 From a reading of the show-cause reply filed by the contemnor-Superintendent of Police (Comm. & Tech. Services), Jharkhand, Ranchi, I find that the things are not very clear.

On 01.05.1989, basic pay of Parmeshwar Dayal Singh, ASI was Rs. 1720/- whereas the petitioner's basic pay was Rs. 1600/-.

The petitioner came to this Court in W.P.(S) No. 6544 of 2013 raising a grievance on disparity in pay. Claim of the petitioner was admitted by the respondent-State, in as much as, it was conceded that basic pay of the petitioner should also be Rs. 1720/-. Thereafter, the service career of the petitioner and Parmeshwar Dayal Singh have different graphs. What the opposite party-contemnor has done is to pay difference in basic pay of both the employees to the petitioner.

Whether the petitioner's basic pay which, according to the opposite party-contemnor, should have been Rs. 1720/- was to be revised according to his own service or not, has not been disclosed in this affidavit.

On the request of the learned State counsel, post the matter on 23.08.2019, for filing further affidavit.

(Shree Chandrashekhar, J.)