

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A. B. A. No. 2308 of 2026

1. Shankar Mahto, aged about 27 years, Son of Govind Mahto,
2. Aaditya Saw, aged about 40 years, son of Bhuneshwar Saw,
3. Sachin Kumar, aged about 25 years, son of Lakhan Saw,
4. Raj Kumar Munda, aged about 41 years, son of Vifa Munda,
5. Sajjan Kumar @ Sanjan Saw @Sajan Kumar, aged about 28 years, son of Santosh Saw,
6. Raj Kumar Paswan, aged about 23 years, son of Binod Paswan,
7. Jitendra Ram @ Jitendra Das, aged about 30 years, son of Ruplal Ram @ Ruplal Das,
8. Sanjeet Saw @Sanjit Saw, aged about 20 years, son of Suresh Saw,
9. Bhawani Kumar @ Bhawani Mahto, aged about 23 years, son of Fuldev Mahto,
10. Koleshwar Saw @ Kauleshwar @Jokeshwar Saw aged about 36 years, son of Mohan Saw,
11. Deepak Saw @ Deepak Kumar Saw, aged about 19 years, son of Lakhan Saw,
12. Pawan Kumar, aged about 20 years, son of Ramesh Saw,
13. Chandrika Saw @ Chandraka Saw, aged about 43 years, son of Sadhu Saw,
14. Dhirju Kumar Saw@ Dhiraj Saw @ Dhiraj Kumar Saw, aged about 33 years, son of Jitan Saw @ Dhanu Saw, all resident of Village- Jordag, P.O. & P.S.- Keredari, District- Hazaribag, State- Jharkhand,
15. Lukendra Kumar Bouncer @ Lukendra Kumar @ Lokendra Kumar, aged about 25 years, son of Ramautar, resident of Village- Nipaniya, P.O. & P.S.-Nipaniya, District- Jalaun, State- Uttar Pradesh.
16. Rinkesh Kumar Dubey @ Rinkesh Dubey, aged about 26 years, son of Laxman Dubey, resident of Village-Baleddevari, P.O.- Pandu, P.S.- Keredari, District- Hazaribag, State- Jharkhand.

..... ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... ... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners

:Mr. Avilash Kumar, Advocate

For the State

: Mr. Abhay Kr. Tiwari, A.P.P.

02/ 08.05.2026: Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Keredari P.S. Case No. 137 of 2025 registered under sections 190, 191(2), 126 (2), 115(2), 118(2), 109(1), 324(5), 324(6), 303(2), 308(3), 308(4), 351(2) of BNS, pending in the Court of learned J.M. Ist Class,

Hazaribag.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were agitating for the compensation as they were land loser and due to that agitation scuffle took place and there were free fight. He next submits that now good sense has prevailed between the parties and both the parties have entered into compromise. On these grounds, he submits that the petitioners may kindly be provided privilege of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer and submits that allegations of assault are there.

5. Considering that petitioners were agitating for the compensation as they were land loser and due to that agitation scuffle took place and now good sense has prevailed between the parties and both the parties have entered into compromise, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the above named, petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned court within three weeks from today and in the event of their surrender / arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned J.M. Ist Class, Hazaribag, in connection with Keredari P.S. Case No. 137 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S, 2023.

Dt.08.05.2026

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

satyarthi-