

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No. 635 of 2022

Sunil Kumar			Petitioner
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand & Ors.		...	Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N. PATHAK

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sanjay Prasad, Advocate Mr. Ramchander Sahu, Advocate
For the Resp-UOI	:	Mr. Mukesh Kr Mehta, AC to GP-VI

10/ 06.12.2023 Assailing the impugned order, Mr. Sanjay Prasad, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that though the inquiry officer has held the petitioner guilty of the charge, but without any evidence and without any examination of the witness and as such, the same is perverse. In support of his submission, learned counsel places heavy reliance upon the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Roop Singh Negi v. Punjab National Bank***, reported in **(2009) 2 SCC 570**.

Learned counsel appearing for the State prays for some time to bring on record as to whether any evidence were there, whether any witness was examined, whether any document was made the part of the enquiry report and whether ample opportunity was given to the petitioner to examine those relevant documents.

Put up this case after four weeks to enable the learned counsel for the respondents to file specific affidavit.

(Dr. S. N. Pathak, J.)

R.Kr.