

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No.209 of 2026

Ijrail Ansari @ Izrail Ansari, aged about 28 years, son of Abdul Ansari,
resident of village Chandgarh, P.O : Berokala, P.S : Barkatha, Dist :
Hazaribag ... Appellant

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... Respondent

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant	: Mr. Anil Kumar, Sr. Advocate Ms. Chandana Kumari, Adv.
For the State	: Mr. Sardhu Mahto, A.P.P.

Order No.03/Dated- 17.04.2026

I.A. No.1958 of 2026

1. Heard Mr. Anil Kumar, learned senior counsel for the appellant and learned A.P.P.
2. This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.
3. The appellant has been convicted for the offences under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of the P.O.C.S.O. Act and has been sentenced to undergo R.I. for 10 years along with fine of Rs.10,000/- for the offence under Section 4 of the P.O.C.S.O. Act.
4. It has been alleged that the appellant had established physical relationship with the daughter of the informant on a false pretext of marriage but subsequently, he had denied to solemnize marriage.
5. Submission has been advanced by learned senior counsel for the appellant that there was a love affair between the appellant and the victim which can be deduced from the evidence of the victim, who has been examined as P.W.8. Learned senior counsel submits that the age of the victim has been assessed to be

17-18 years and in fact initially a complaint case was lodged, which was sent to the police under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. after which the First Information Report was registered. Learned senior counsel further adds while referring to the evidence of the victim who has been examined as P.W.8 that the appellant used to stay in the house of the victim occasionally for 1-2 months. It has also been submitted that the appellant was all along on bail during trial.

6. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

7. Regard being had to the evidence of P.W.8 from which it appears that there was a love affair between the appellant and the victim and the fact that the appellant was all along on bail during trial, we are inclined to admit the appellant on bail. Accordingly, during the pendency of this appeal, the appellant is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-III-cum-Special Judge (POCSO), Hazaribag in POCSO Case No.25 of 2019 arising out of Barkahta P.S. Case No.12 of 2019.

8. I.A. No.1958 of 2026 is allowed accordingly.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

Dated: 17th April, 2026

Sachin/

Uploaded on: 18/04/2026