

(2026:JHHC:11807)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.1298 of 2026

Shubham Kumar, aged about 28 years, son of Nandlal Thakur,
Resident of Village Gandharvpur, P.O.-Tardiha, P.S.-Pathargama,
District-Godda.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. K. Srinivas Raw, S/o Kappa Raw R/o Kadma, Uliyan Shivaji
Path, House No.A-2/1, Kadma, P.O. & P.S.-Kadma, Jamshedpur,
Jharkhand.

.... Opposite Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rohan Mazumdar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shailesh Kr. Sinha, Addl.P.P
For the Op 2 : Mr. J.N. Upadhyay, Advocate

Order No.03 Dated- 21-04-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Godda
(T) P.S. Case No.310 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 420, 406, 409 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation
against the petitioner is that the petitioner was entrusted the job of
replenishing the cash amount in the ATMs after taking the same from the
SBI main branch and he has embezzled Rs.54,18,500/-out of the money to
be kept in the ATMs. It is submitted that the allegation against the
petitioner is false. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes that
he will co-operate with the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the
petitioner has been in custody since 25.11.2025 as is evident from para-20
of the instant bail application. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be
released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State and the learned counsel
for the opposite party no.2 on the other hand vehemently opposes the
prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view the embezzlement of
huge amount of money, there is every chance of the petitioner

absconding or tampering with evidence, if released on bail. It is therefore submitted that the petitioner ought not be released on bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner, the chance of the petitioner absconding or tampering with evidence, if released on bail, this Court is not inclined to admit the petitioner on bail at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected at this stage.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

21/04/2026

Abhiraj/