

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Revision No.273 of 2026

Satyawan Mukhi Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand. ... Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. P.S.A. Swaroop Pati, Advocate

For the State : A.P.P

I.A. No.2910 of 2026

02/06.05.2026 Heard Mr. P.S.A. Swaroop Pati, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P.

This application has been preferred by the petitioner for condoning a delay of 209 days in preferring the revision application.

Having been satisfied with the reasons assigned in the instant application, the same is allowed and the delay of 209 days in filing the revision application is hereby condoned.

I.A. No.2910 of 2026 stands disposed of.

Cr. Revision No.273 of 2026

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that both the learned courts below had not taken into consideration the fact that nothing was recovered from the possession of the petitioner and in fact the fire arm was recovered from the possession of the co-accused. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is in custody since 02.02.2026.

Considering the above, during the pendency of this revision application, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Seraikella in connection with Seraikella P.S. Case No.41 of 2008.

Consequent to the above, I.A. No.2337 of 2026 stands disposed of.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

Shamim/-

Uploaded on:08 /05/2026