

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Revision **Filing** No. 2527 of 2025

RamJee Prasad Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Kameshwar Kumar Saw Opposite parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ankit Apurva, Advocate

For the Opposite parties : A.P.P.

5/04.05.2026 I. A. No. 2127 of 2025:

Heard Mr. Ankit Apurva, learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

This interlocutory application has been preferred by the petitioner
for grant of exemption to surrender in terms of Rule 159 of the High
Court of Jharkhand Rules, 2001.

The reason which has been assigned in this application is that the
petitioner has suffered a paralytic attack and the doctor has advised him
complete bed rest. In support of his contention, certain prescriptions
have been brought on record, but the said prescriptions do not indicate
that the petitioner has ever suffered a paralytic attack and in fact what
has been mentioned in the so-called prescriptions is that the petitioner
has difficulty in walking. The prescriptions run contrary to what has
been stated in the instant application.

There being no exceptional circumstance highlighted by the
petitioner, his prayer for exemption to surrender is hereby rejected.

I.A. No. 2127 of 2025 stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)