

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
ABA No.766 of 2026

1. Sujit Kumar @ Sujit Yadav @ Sujeet Kumar, aged about 21 years, son of Manoj Yadav,
 2. Sudhir Kumar @ Sudhir Yadav, aged about 20 years, son of Amrit Yadav,
 3. Rohit Kumar @ Rohit Yadav, aged about 29 years, son of Rambalak Yadav,
 All are resident of village- Jarga, P.O.- Gujhandhi, P.S. Jhumri Telaiya, District- Koderma. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

 For the Petitioners : Mr. Randhir Kumar, Advocate
 For the State : Mr. Sunil Kr. Dubey, A.P.P.

2/11.02.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of Petitioners and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Telaiya P.S. case no. 253 of 2025, for the offence under sections 272, 274, 292 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and section 47(a)(f) of Jharkand Excise Act, pending in the court of Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Koderma.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been implicated in this case only on suspicion. He next submits that the alleged recovery of Mahua liquor was found from the bushes and the petitioners have nothing to do with the said liquor. He next submits that the petitioner No.1 is working as daily wage labourer at Kolkata, petitioner No.2 is working as daily wage labourer at Bengaluru and the petitioner No.3 is working as cab driver in Mumbai.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and

submits that the names of the petitioners have come on suspicion.

5. Looking into the contents of the FIR, it transpires that the name of the petitioners have come on suspicion, they are said to be the labourers and working in different cities, the said liquor was recovered from the bushes, in that view of the matter, the petitioners above named are directed to surrender before the learned Court within two weeks and the learned Court shall release the petitioners on such terms and condition or sureties, the learned Court may deem fit and proper.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

11.02.2026
R.Kumar