

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A No. 1276 of 2025

Ranvijay Singh, aged about 55 years, S/o Yugeshwar Singh, R/o Village
Manjhuliya, P.O. P.S. Rajpur, District Chatra --- --- Petitioner
Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Dineshwar Singh, aged about 45 years, S/o Bhubneswar Singh, R/o Village
Manjhuliya, P.O and P.S. Rajpur, District Chatra --- --- Opp. Parties

With
B.A No. 1278 of 2025

Rambriksh Singh @ Rambriksha Singh, aged about 53 years, S/o Yugeshwar
Singh, R/o Village Manjhuliya, P.O. P.S. Rajpur, District Chatra
--- --- Petitioner
Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Dineshwar Singh, aged about 45 years, S/o Bhubneswar Singh, R/o Village
Manjhuliya, P.O and P.S. Rajpur, District Chatra --- --- Opp. Parties

CORAM: **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ambuj Nath**

For the Petitioner: M/s Ajit Kumar, Sr. Advocate, Tanya Singh, Advocate
For the O.P-State: Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, A.P.P. [B.A. 1276/2025]
Mr. Shailesh Kr. Sinha, A.P.P [B.A. 1278/2025]

03 / 08.04.2025 Both these bail applications arise out of the same police station. As
such, they are being disposed of by a common order.

Heard the parties.

2. Petitioners have been made accused in connection with Rajpur P.S. Case
No. 77 of 2020 for the offences registered under sections 147, 149, 341, 323,
504, 506, 302 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of Smt.
S.S. Minz, learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Chatra.
3. On 01.08.2020 at 1.00 noon, Informant was ploughing his field when the
petitioners along with co-accused persons came there and assaulted the
Informant. However, he managed to escape from there and went to his house
where he inquired about the whereabouts of his daughter. It is alleged that
petitioners had carried the child and thrown her in a well, due to which, she
died.
4. Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners, submitted that there is no eyewitness to the occurrence. It was
further submitted that it is beyond any stretch of imagination that anyone will

2.

throw a child in the well. It was further submitted that no witness has supported the occurrence.

5. Considering the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. Accordingly, their prayer for bail stands rejected.

(Ambuj Nath, J)

Ranjeet/
Uploaded